



SECURITY ASSESSMENT REPORT

Authorized Security Report

Prepared for the authorized owner of

example-customer.com

OVERALL RISK SCORE

13 /100



Safe, authorized simulation results

RISK LEVEL

Low

PACKAGE

Threat Readiness

STATUS

Completed

FINDINGS

2

SCAN DATE

01 Aug 2026

REPORT TYPE

Client Evidence

Confidential security evidence

This report is intended for authorized stakeholders and contains safe passive assessment results.

Generated by BreakMesh

Contents

Executive Summary 3

Executive Actions 3

Checks Performed 3

Scope & Confidence 3

SOC 2 Evidence Mapping 4

PCI-DSS v4.0 Control Mapping 4

ISO/IEC 27001:2022 Control Mapping 5

HIPAA Security Rule Control Mapping 5

DPDP Act 2023 (India) Control Mapping 6

Threat Readiness Evidence 6

Severity Distribution 6

Master Findings Table 6

Detailed Findings 6

Appendix A — Scope & Methodology 8

Appendix B — Check Register 9

Appendix C — Glossary 10

Executive Summary

This report summarises the findings from an automated security simulation performed by BreakMesh against <https://example-customer.com>. All checks are passive and safe-simulated — no destructive payloads are used. Overall result: grade **C** with a risk score of **13/100** and **Low** risk.

RISK SCORE	GRADE	RISK LEVEL	TOTAL FINDINGS
13/100	C	Low	2

SEVERITY	FINDINGS	RECOMMENDED ACTION
MEDIUM	1	Remediate within current sprint
LOW	1	Schedule remediation in backlog

Executive Actions

What passed: 0 checks completed without findings. What needs action: 2 checks produced findings for review.

Checks Performed

The **Threat Readiness** package included 8 checks in scope. 0 completed without producing findings. Effectively tested 0 of 8 checks. 6 checks were inconclusive (could not reach the target, or the check has an inherent tooling limitation — see the report for the specific reason) and are not counted as passing.

CHECK OUTCOME	COUNT	CHECKS
Passed	0	- No passing checks recorded
Needs review	2	- Rate-Limit Readiness - Subdomain Discovery (Certificate Transparency)
Inconclusive	6	- WAF/CDN Detection (No execution record is available for this check) - WAF Harmless Canary Probe (No execution record is available for this check) - Bot Protection Detection (No execution record is available for this check) - DDoS Readiness Evidence (No execution record is available for this check) - Origin Exposure Check (No execution record is available for this check) - Subdomain Takeover Risk (No execution record is available for this check)

Scope & Confidence

Breakmesh is a continuous safeguard, not a guarantee of safety. This scan identifies and prioritises common, detectable weaknesses so they can be fixed before an attacker finds them — it reduces risk but cannot prove the absence of every vulnerability. No scanner can. Absence of findings means the checks that ran did not surface an issue, not that the target is immune to attack. Use these results as one layer of a defense-in-depth programme alongside secure development, timely patching, monitoring, and periodic human-led penetration testing.

SOC 2 Evidence Mapping

This mapping shows which SOC 2 trust service themes the completed checks can support as audit evidence.

SOC 2 THEME	MAPPED CHECKS	FINDINGS
Security	8	2
Availability	7	2
Confidentiality	1	

PCI-DSS v4.0 Control Mapping

This mapping shows which PCI-DSS v4.0 controls the completed checks can support as audit evidence.

CONTROL	MAPPED CHECKS	FINDINGS
1.2.1	8	1
1.3.1	10	
11.3.1	21	
4.2.1	7	
6.2.4	49	
6.3.1	4	
6.4.1	7	1
7.2.1	29	
8.3.1	19	

ISO/IEC 27001:2022 Control Mapping

This mapping shows which ISO/IEC 27001:2022 controls the completed checks can support as audit evidence.

CONTROL	MAPPED CHECKS	FINDINGS
A.5.18	10	
A.5.24	3	
A.5.34	3	
A.8.14	7	1
A.8.20	8	1
A.8.24	7	
A.8.26	12	
A.8.28	31	
A.8.3	19	
A.8.5	19	
A.8.8	4	
A.8.9	26	

HIPAA Security Rule Control Mapping

This mapping shows which HIPAA Security Rule controls the completed checks can support as audit evidence.

CONTROL	MAPPED CHECKS	FINDINGS
164.308(a)(1)	4	
164.308(a)(6)	3	
164.308(a)(7)	7	1
164.312(a)(1)	37	1
164.312(c)(1)	37	
164.312(d)	19	
164.312(e)(1)	22	
164.502	3	

DPDP Act 2023 (India) Control Mapping

This mapping shows which DPDP Act 2023 (India) controls the completed checks can support as audit evidence.

CONTROL	MAPPED CHECKS	FINDINGS
Rule 6(a)	33	
Rule 6(b)	19	
Rule 6(d)	4	
Sec 11-14	3	
Sec 6	3	
Sec 8(4)	3	
Sec 8(5)	88	1
Sec 8(6)	3	

Threat Readiness Evidence

This package uses low-rate, non-destructive observations for WAF/CDN signals, bot controls, rate-limit readiness, DDoS evidence, and origin exposure indicators. No volumetric traffic is generated.

Severity Distribution



Master Findings Table

Every finding in this report, worst first. Each is written up in full under Detailed Findings, and can be referred to by the ID shown here.

ID	FINDING	SEVERITY
	Rate-Limit Readiness	MEDIUM
	Forgotten Subdomain Found	LOW

Detailed Findings

Rate-Limit Readiness

MEDIUM

No rate limiting was observed on the login endpoint across a low-rate burst of requests.

AFFECTED URL	https://example-customer.com/login
CONFIDENCE	Medium
VERIFICATION	Indicative
REVIEW	Legacy verification requires review
COMPLIANCE IMPACT	SOC 2: Availability, Security PCI-DSS v4.0: 6.4.1 ISO/IEC 27001:2022: A.8.14 HIPAA Security Rule: 164.308(a)(7)
REMEDIATION	Add per-IP and per-account rate limiting on authentication endpoints to slow credential-stuffing attempts.
EVIDENCE	observed: No rate limiting was observed on the login endpoint across a low-rate burst of requests.

Forgotten Subdomain Found

LOW

Certificate-transparency logs reveal a staging subdomain that is not part of the currently monitored scope.

AFFECTED URL	staging.example-customer.com
CONFIDENCE	High
VERIFICATION	Indicative
REVIEW	Legacy verification requires review
COMPLIANCE IMPACT	SOC 2: Availability, Security PCI-DSS v4.0: 1.2.1 ISO/IEC 27001:2022: A.8.20 HIPAA Security Rule: 164.312(a)(1) DPDP Act 2023 (India): Sec 8(5)
REMEDIATION	Inventory and either decommission or bring the staging subdomain under the same security controls as production.
EVIDENCE	observed: Certificate-transparency logs reveal a staging subdomain that is not part of the currently monitored scope.

Appendix A — Scope & Methodology

This assessment combines automated checks from the BreakMesh catalogue with the industry methodologies below. Checks are mapped onto these standards; the standards are not run in place of them.

METHODOLOGY	APPLIED AS
OWASP Testing Guide v4.2	The web application testing methodology our check catalogue is structured against.
OWASP ASVS	Application Security Verification Standard - the control set our packages map to.
OWASP API Security Top 10	Used for the API Security package, including BOLA/BFLA and mass assignment.
PTES	Penetration Testing Execution Standard - the engagement structure for Active Pentest.
NIST SP 800-115	Technical Guide to Information Security Testing and Assessment.
BreakMesh safe-simulation model	Passive checks observe only. Active probes are consent-gated, scope-locked, request-budgeted and never carry a destructive payload.
Race conditions / TOCTOU	Business-logic race conditions are assessed during human-led Active Pentest engagements, not automated scanning: confirming one means causing it, and it needs knowledge of the specific application flow.

On proof of exploitation: this report records the request and response that demonstrate each finding. It deliberately does not include runnable exploit code. A security report is forwarded widely, and a working attack script reaches everyone it is forwarded to.

Tests that can change data: not allowed for this scan, which is the default. Every check here observed only, or sent crafted requests that change nothing. Checks that can find a problem only by causing it were skipped and are marked as not run in the Check Register.

Appendix B — Check Register

Every check in this package and what it produced, including those that ran and found nothing. The summary earlier in this report gives counts; this is the evidence behind them, so a question of the form "was X tested?" can be answered directly.

CHECK	OUTCOME	DETAIL
Rate-Limit Readiness	Needs review	Finding raised - see Detailed Findings
Subdomain Discovery (Certificate Transparency)	Needs review	Finding raised - see Detailed Findings
WAF/CDN Detection	Inconclusive	No execution record is available for this check
WAF Harmless Canary Probe	Inconclusive	No execution record is available for this check
Bot Protection Detection	Inconclusive	No execution record is available for this check
DDoS Readiness Evidence	Inconclusive	No execution record is available for this check
Origin Exposure Check	Inconclusive	No execution record is available for this check
Subdomain Takeover Risk	Inconclusive	No execution record is available for this check

Appendix C — Glossary

Plain-English definitions for the specialist terms used in this report. Only the terms this report actually uses are listed.

TERM	WHAT IT MEANS
Subdomain takeover	A subdomain still points at a service you no longer own, so somebody else can claim that service and serve content from your address.
WAF	Web Application Firewall. A filter in front of your site that blocks recognisably malicious requests. It reduces exposure but does not fix the underlying flaw.

Scan ID: 5f296c3f-87e0-5b26-97f1-45aa42b6df9b · This report is confidential and intended solely for the authorised owner of example-customer.com. Breakmesh performs passive simulation only. All findings should be validated by a qualified security professional prior to remediation.